



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/390,954	09/07/1999	F. DEVON TAYLOR	112024-0051	7856	
21186	7590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			CUFF, MICHAEL A		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3627		
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/390,954	TAYLOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Cuff	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Fe	hruani 2005					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20050110.	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The new limitation, "the accounting support services are external to and separate from the printer agent and the printer" is not clear in light of applicants figure 3, which has both the accounting support services and the printer agent on the same server and all of the units either directly or indirectly communicate. It is not clear how external or separate these elements are.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett et al.

Art Unit: 3627

Bennett et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying that the accounting support services are external to and separate from the printer agent and the printer.

Bennett et al. shows, figures 7 and 8, a printing system with automatic statistical compilation and billing. There is a section, Statistical and Billing Information, in columns 5-8 which is of particular interest. The system described above may include several print jobs in memory (path, second print service) since jobs can be scanned at one time and then stored for subsequent printing. The system controller 7 (printer agent) can include a mechanism for identifying each account and counting the number of reprographic system functions performed for that specific print job (accounting support for print services, third print service). The system controller 7 can also store the billing rates for the various system functions for each account and calculate the billing cost for that account, as for example, the product of the rate for the printing function and the number of printing functions performed (tracking/evaluating). All information to be inputted into the controller 7 can be accomplished using the UI 52 (API). When a customer account has been deactivated by the system operator, requests to bill against the account will be denied. Printing jobs already in the printer queue that reference the account will be allowed to print, and will subsequently be billed to the account. A system operator can look at the print job summary or delete a print job with a deactivated account name. However, a new account name must be assigned to the original job in order to move or copy it (inherently, accept, reject, and hold functions are accomplished)

Art Unit: 3627

The examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make the elements separable if it were considered desirable for any reason to do so. The case law is from a mechanical background, but in the computer environment, it is desirable to make units modular or separable in order to facilitate programming and interface management. Also note that the Bennett et al. controller is already divided into many parts, (column 4, lines 19-23) it just does not specify the accounting.

Based on the discussion above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the Bennett et al. system to separate different functions in order to facilitate programming and interface management.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/390,954

Art Unit: 3627

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 5

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael luff 5/13/05 Michael Cuff

May 13, 2005